

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_109289
Title of the Manuscript:	Promotion of Mental Health in School Adolescents in Collaboration with the Primary Care Team: Systematic Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript provides a review of literature in the field of interaction between academic institutions and primary health care institutions. The manuscript has used a systematic methodology for short listing of literature for the review based on a well founded methodology (PRISMA). To this extent, the manuscript finds importance for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title begins with the phrase "Promotion of". However the manuscript is limited to literature study on the role of primary health care team. Accordingly, it is suggested to modify the title to "Role of Primary Health team in promoting mental health of adolescent students : A systematic review"</p> <p>Some of the key strategies incorporated by a collaboration between education sector and primary health sector, especially the innovative strategies may be included in the abstract since it forms the core of the study.</p> <p>The subsections and structure are in order. However, each of the section and subsection may be numbered suitably as per APA standards for easy cross referencing and cataloguing. Similarly, the Tables and charts also may be numbered using standard format/style.</p> <p>There are no scientific inconsistencies in the manuscript.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. However, it is suggested to have a few literature reviews pertaining to the Indian and Asian context since the study is context and demography specific.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are inconsistencies in sentences formation in a few paragraphs (Ex : Pg 3 para 3,4) . Some of the sentence formation uses colloquial terminologies (ex : Pg 15 para 3,4,5,6,7) and flow is missing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Page 12 Table 3 can incorporate the quantitative results of each of the literature reviewed to make it more statistically relevant. Certain Acronyms like MEST etc. need to be expanded for better clarity.</p> <p>Page 14 para (2) is the gist of the paper and can be elaborated a little more.</p> <p>The efficacy of the strategies studied by different authors can be elaborated to make the manuscript</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	further rich in content.	
--	--------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Padmashri S Rao
Department, University & Country	India