

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109828
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield of grain cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> sub sp. <i>Cylindrica</i>) in response to foliar nutrition and graded levels of phosphorus and potassium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is very important for scientific community as the foliar nutrition of phosphorus and potassium is essential for the plants. Along with soil application of required fertilizers, foliar application increased the yield of grain cowpea.</p> <p>The title of the article seems to be okay.</p> <p>The abstract of the article has been written very well with full information about the research findings.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references used in the manuscript are recent and sufficient.</p> <p>The tables of the different results (1a to 4b) should be rearranged in the results and discussion sections. The table of the results and description of results should be placed side by side. The Figure 1 and description of the results should be placed side by side also.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English language of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript can be published after minor corrections as I mentioned above.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apu Biswas
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Tea Research Institute, Bangladesh