

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109239
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analysis, Antifungal and Antibacterial Screening of Artocarpus altilis: Guyana Flora Extracts
Type of the Article	Original Research (Experimental work)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is useful for the scientific community and contributed to the scientific world</p> <p>Title already modified (Phytochemical Analysis and Antimicrobial Screening of <i>Artocarpus altilis</i>: Guyana Flora Extracts)</p> <p>Detailed enough to be considered for abstract subjected to corrections</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not in all cases. Some of references need to be updated.</p> <p>There is need to make fewer adjustments in the body and structure of the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fewer grammatical incoherence were observed in some cases</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is subjected to further corrections and may be acceptable for publication if all the reviewer's comments are attended to. The findings in the research work were adequate but should be well interpreted and properly discussed by comparing the result with other similar scientific findings and properly referenced.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulraheem Ismail Adedapo
Department, University & Country	The Federal Polytechnic Offa, Nigeria