

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_CJAST_108598  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF EXTENSION NEEDS OF VEGETABLE GROWERS IN AMARAVATI DIVISION OF MAHARASHTRA STATE |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The manuscript is important has it gives the information of the how multiple regression analysis of various characteristics of the vegetable growers with their extension needs showed that selected variables are positively significant.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Abstract can be elaborated</b></p> <p><b>No has the manuscript has added a single objective not concluded properly</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>References of the paper needs to improved</b></p> <p>You can't use we, they &amp; all in the Journal publications.</p> <p>Elaborate the introduction part include more literatures</p> <p>Why only one taluka was selected.</p> <p>Why multiple regression analysis was chosen?</p> <p>What is the basis for the analysis?</p> <p>How can you justify the analysis was true?</p> <p>Conclusion part cannot include the citations &amp; all. It should be the conclusion of your work.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>   | <p>English can be improved</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>Conclusion has to be elaborated</p>   |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Prathik S Jain</b>                                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, India</b> |