

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108598
Title of the Manuscript:	REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF EXTENSION NEEDS OF VEGETABLE GROWERS IN AMARAVATI DIVISION OF MAHARASHTRA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The substantiation of statistically significant factors determining the development of intensive vegetable production in countries with large populations, such as India, is of public importance.</p> <p>The title of the article fully corresponds to the content.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript uses the multiple regression apparatus in a scientifically correct manner.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>The article submitted for review is rather a brief introduction to scientific work. One may wish the author to expand the list of factors taken into account in the regression analysis, in particular, to pay attention to modern information technologies, master classes, traveling exhibitions, and competitions.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article is written in clear scientific language and well presented.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article may be recommended for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Osharovska
Department, University & Country	State University of Intelligent Technologies and Telecommunications, Ukraine