

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_108746
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Spawning Techniques for Catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>) at the Cultivated Fisheries Production Business Service Center, Karawang
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, because it brings the significance of the spawning techniques of breeding catfish compared to other methods</p> <p>The title should be reworked to; Artificial Spawning Techniques for Catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>) at the Cultivated Fisheries Production Business Service Center in Karawang (include the country name)</p> <p>The abstract should be reworked; but the introductory sentences should be reworked</p> <p>The structure is not well organized; the method section should be clear and be detailed with procedure on how each and every data will be gathered to answer the objectives. The results section is not clear as well; some information are misplaced, figures just pops up anyhow. So the author must rework following my comments in the manuscript</p> <p>Is correct but writing style is not catchy and pulling down the interest of the readers. The need to restructure the information and put relevant information not just copying from the Thesis The references must be full detailed; should include the journal name, volume and issue number, and the DOI: number for the journal article</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language must improve; when reporting use past tenses, checking the spelling, grammar check and typos correction. In short, proofreading is needed</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tijoy Lowore
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resource, Malawi