

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_109571
Title of the Manuscript:	Printing on plastic foil through digital cameras with full inspection in the industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a real-world problem faced by the plastic foil printing industry, it could be of interest to researchers and professionals in the field. The issue of reducing losses in raw materials due to printing defects is a practical and industry-specific challenge. The manuscript appears to address a specific and practical problem in an industry, and its importance for the scientific community will depend on the novelty of the proposed solution, the technical details provided, and the potential broader applicability of the findings</p> <p>Title can be modified as “Advancing Precision Printing: Industry-Scale Application of Full-Width Digital Camera Inspection on Plastic Foil”</p> <p>The provided text appears to be more of an introduction or background information rather than a full abstract. An abstract typically summarizes the key points of the research, including the problem addressed, the methodology used, and the results obtained. It serves as a concise overview of the entire article, allowing readers to quickly understand the purpose and findings. Abstract must be modified</p> <p>The subsections and overall structure seem appropriate, creating a logical progression from the problem statement to the proposed solution, methodology, and conclusions. This organization enhances the readability and understanding of the research presented in the manuscript.</p> <p>Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears scientifically correct. The document discusses a real-world problem in the plastic foil printing industry, presents a proposed solution supported by relevant technology, and outlines a methodology for implementation. The scientific principles and concepts, such as the use of a full inspection digital camera for quality control, align with industry standards and practices. However, a thorough scientific review would require a detailed examination of the methodology, experimental procedures, data analysis, and results, which are not provided in their entirety in the excerpts provided. If the manuscript includes these elements, it's important to carefully assess the accuracy and validity of the experimental design, data collection, and interpretation.</p> <p>More research paper can be added as reference. Also author is requested to follow the journal template for reference.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	L. Megalan Leo
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, India