

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_109571
Title of the Manuscript:	Printing on plastic foil through digital cameras with full inspection in the industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. To some extent!!</p> <p>2. Title is not suitable. No reflection of the said research objective simply by looking at the title. More relevant would be; " Role of Digital Camera with Control in Dimension for the Effective Printing on Plastic Foil"</p> <p>3. No. Significant lacking of writing skills.</p> <p>4. To some extent!</p> <p>5. The problem raised to prevent the material loss more especially during printing on plastic film align perfectly to the commercial needs/markets/industries. The authors should incorporate the in-depth descriptions and the detailed consequences prior to raise this research problem. The content is lacking with the wide ranged applications, and the possibility of promoting/adopting this technique in multi-dimensional scopes.</p> <p>6. The references are not correctly listed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The writings must be concisely presented. Each sentence must have the good correlation with the next one so that any unanimous readers can follow the presentation easily. So, the straightforward publication of this article never satisfies the journal's policy, instead degrades the journal's reputation. The authors are strongly suggested to modify the manuscripts, to make the writings more expressive, and mostly, recheck the grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anant Babu Marahatta
Department, University & Country	Kathford International College of Engineering and Management, Nepal