

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_108617
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Readers Theatre to Enhance English Reading Fluency in Fifth Grade: Action Research in Thrimshing Higher Secondary School
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community because the content can add substantial knowledge in education. It presents ideas that can help address the issues and concerns in reading that are also experienced globally. The intervention used can be duplicated to test its effectiveness in varied grade level. The title of the article captures the content of the manuscript. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive that contains important highlights and findings of the study as well as the methodology used. The article presented the ideas in correct sequence and subsections which facilitates better understanding of the article, however, the presentation of the result in qualitative part is not sufficient and needs some elaborations. Yes, I believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct because it follows a correct methodology, the concepts stated are supported by the review of related literatures, similar studies strengthened the result obtained presented in the article but need additional discussions on the result of qualitative. The references utilized are sufficient, current and relevant to the present study. It also followed the correct text citation and APA format. <p>Overall, the article showed a very interesting intervention that can help address problems in reading for our young generations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The use of English language is correct and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leah C. Navarro
Department, University & Country	Cavite State University, Philippines