

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_109205
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of the traditional process of oil extraction from sardine viscera (<i>Sardina pilchardus</i>) in the Far North Region-Cameroon by the Response Surface Methodology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It is a scientific research.</p> <p>Optimization of the traditional process of oil extraction from sardine viscera (<i>Sardina pilchardus</i>) by the Response Surface Methodology</p> <p>No, the organization is not systematic.</p> <p>Yes but requires clarification on the separation process and purification process adopted during the extraction.</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>The author needs to systematic arrange the work. For instance, the effect of process parameter comes before the design of experiment(DOE) for optimization. Presence of grammatical error and incomplete sentences. The results should be scientifically discussed in this direction; your findings, compare with previous findings, the knowledge gap, and conclusion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good but thorough revision will make it better</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scientifically accepted for publication when all the corrections have been effected</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	O. E. Umeagukwu
Department, University & Country	Gregory University, Nigeria