

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109936
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Attributes of National Rural Livelihood Mission (NRLM) beneficiaries' in Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it good as based on primary study of specific region of M P.For Regional Economics it's good work</p> <p>Ye</p> <p>Yes it has given conceptual framework along with results and discussion</p> <p>Yes the structure is appropriate</p> <p>Yes it is correct as it's based on simple percentages and descriptive analysis is only done.</p> <p>Study is a mix of primary & secondary hence literature review is sufficient</p> <p>By observation is good regional work however no econometric tools have used.Its only simple descriptive analysis.Also how the 2 blocks each was taken is not clear. It is had the researcher justified by using 1bloc of more NRLM and other from less beneficiaries of NRLM and done comparison then the results would have far more appropriate for policy guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it's simple</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper is good only few analysis tools like Regression,Cross tab used bewteen high & low beneficiated ,it would have more valuable</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha Ashish Mehrotra
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India