

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_105168
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodic, Transition and Escape Trajectories for 3D-Kepler 2-Body Problem of Classical Electrodynamics
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalspsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are indeed important for the scientific community. Manuscripts refer to written documents that present the findings, methods, and conclusions of scientific research studies. They play a crucial role in the communication and advancement of scientific knowledge</p> <p>The title "Periodic, Transition and Escape Trajectories for 3D-Kepler 2-Body Problem of Classical Electrodynamics" accurately conveys the main focus of the paper and provides a clear indication of the subject matter.</p> <p>The abstract gives a general overview of the paper's main objectives and findings. It covers the following key points: Previous Research, Periodic Orbit, Transition Trajectories, Escape Trajectories, Methodology</p> <p>Yes Yes The list of references seems to be sufficient in terms of quantity, and they appear to be directly relevant to the topic of your paper. Need to add more recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Shortening your paper while adding more recent references can be a good approach to keeping your work concise and up-to-date.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anwar AL-Mofleh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan</b>