

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105421
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of genetic diversity through D2 statistic in Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community regarding Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.).</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, if will be revised as mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript as 'Review format'.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, if will be revised as mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript as 'Review format'.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, if will be revised as mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript as 'Review format'.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent, if will be revised as mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript as 'Review format'.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Suitable, if will be revised as mentioned in the bodies of the manuscript as 'Review format'.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Reviewer's corrections, comments and recommendation:</p> <p>1. The manuscript is relevant for publication in the Journal. The content of the paper is good and interesting.</p> <p>2. Major revision is needed. There are two types of corrections; one is Compulsory corrections for its acceptance as full paper and other is Optional corrections for the improvement of the paper.</p> <p>3. Uniform style of the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change need to be thoroughly followed, as mentioned in the given 'SDI-Paper-template' of the Journal; especially for References section.</p> <p>4. The manuscript can be accepted as a Full length scientific paper after the corrections/ instructions given in the bodies of the manuscript, some questions asked to be answer as per instructions.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mir Sharf Uddin Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Bangladesh