

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104706
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochar: A Comprehensive Overview of Its Role in Soil Health
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient but not very recent. <p><u>Additional suggestions/comments</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract In the abstract I suggest changing the expression It discusses to In this paper it is shown... <p>In fact, the manuscript did not present any discussion on the proposed topic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. ... enhances soil WHC when applied at 1 to 3%. <p>Please write "WHC" in full to help the reader.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. I suggest putting an explanation about the word Biochar at the beginning of the manuscript because could give a better understanding of the term to readers who are interested in the subject, but come from another area of knowledge. For example "The term biochar is the union of the English words biomass (biomass) and charcoal (charcoal), and identifies a material rich in carbon (biochar) obtained by a process of thermal conversion of biomass of vegetable or animal origin, in the absence or in low amounts of oxygen." 4. Inform in the manuscript the place where table 1 and figure 1 must stay, because they should not be at the end of the manuscript, after the conclusion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haydee Serrão Lanzillotti
Department, University & Country	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil