

## Review Form 1.7

Reviewer name

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104702</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Environmental Sustainability through Soil Conservation: An Imperative for Future Generations</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p><b>Needs modification</b></p> <p><b>Good</b></p> <p><b>More references can be cited.</b></p> <p><b>Reviewer comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The paper is a review article, but lacks enough and exhaustive review including the latest available information.</li> <li>Keywords font size needs attention and number of keywords can be kept up to 5 based on the importance.</li> <li>Journal style and font size needs to be followed correctly by the authors.</li> <li>The Paper talks about soil dégradation, but no where it is Defined and kindly explain degradation and its causes, with references.</li> <li>Many of the refernces are repeated multiple times and many quoted results do not have valid refernces to support with.</li> <li>Style of refernecing needs to be checked and standard format can be followed.</li> <li>With the above revisions the paper can be fit for further processing and publication as review article.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ankhila R H</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Indian Institute of water management, India</b>