

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_101202
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL QUALITY CONTROL (SQC) OF TABLE WATER PRODUCTION INDUSTRIES IN IDAH, KOGI STATE, NIGERA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No "Numerical Assessment of Water Quality using Statistical Quality Control – A Case Study of Idah, Kogi State, Nigeria"</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In my opinion, the authors need to improve the content of the manuscript before final publication. There are a lot of grammatical and typographical errors which need to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The authors should provide the unit of the data</p> <p>2. How did the authors validate the data?</p> <p>3. The authors should structure the sections of the manuscript very well</p> <p>4. The authors should rewrite the abstract, results and discussions, and conclusion section of the paper very well.</p> <p>5. The authors should provide source of information for some constructive sentences</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Stanley Peprah
Department, University & Country	Ghana