

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_104744
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES TO COVID 19 BETWEEN EUROPE, ASIA, FRANCE AFRICA-A LITERATURE REVIEW OF FOUR NATIONS
Type of the Article	Commentary

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The present study reported to be a commentary is an analytical study on the responsiveness of certain countries on COVI-19, pandemic, which is a genuine and imminent study for scientific community. Kindly make a note commentary indicates analysis, but <b>not every analysis is commentary.</b></li> <li>2. The title is misleading as France comes under Europe, <b>let the title be modified.</b> It's too lengthy also. Moreover it's a review of the COVID-19 situation and responses from certain continents. Better use a short title to emphasize key message. Further, '<b>between</b> used for <b>two</b> and '<b>among</b> for many.</li> <li>3. Mostly commentaries <b>do not include abstract.</b> The present abstract contain 273 words along with 8 key words, whereas FRANCE is excluded.</li> <li>4. The structure is <b>haphazardly presented.</b> Rationale for the study, continent-wise situation and responses, take-home message should have been presented logically.</li> <li>5. In commentaries one's <b>opinion and interpretation</b> occupies more space. Think in this direction, please. It could have been presented in a tabular form. <b>More reviews are expected.</b></li> <li>6. Nearly <b>41 references</b> of recent times were cited. Try this book too. Yoo, J., Dutra, S.V.O., Fanfan, D. et al. Comparative analysis of COVID-19 guidelines from six countries: a qualitative study on the US, China, South Korea, the UK, Brazil, and Haiti. <i>BMC Public Health</i> 20, 1853 (2020). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09924-7">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09924-7</a>.</li> <li>7. Mention <b>the implications</b> of the commentary made.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Use research language while presenting your views. Some of the sentences need to be reviewed and revised , under conclusion "one-size-fits-all approach to dealing with the virus, ( <b>to deal or in dealing with</b> )</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript cannot be considered as '<b>commentary</b>' but as '<b>review article</b>'</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. Samuel Babu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Holy Mary Institute of Technology, India</b>