

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_101249
Title of the Manuscript:	Beach Seine Fisheries in the United Arab Emirates on Sea of Oman
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes</p> <p>In pp. 3: I would recommend, if the data permit, to report a measure of the dispersion between the mean value, for example the standard deviation (1127.07±SD).</p> <p>In pp. 6: "In the present study, 37 species were identified and significantly lower than that in Mediterania...?? [17] with 60 species; Australia [13] with 70 species in Lake Macquarie and 37 species in St Georges Basin and Australia [18] with total 70 species or even South Africa [14, 19]."</p> <p>I recommend writing this paragraph in order to better reference the areas/cases with which the results obtained in this study are compared.</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>I recommend redoing this section with this in mind (see above).</p> <p>In addition, take into account the way references are recorded, for example, in a reference to a journal.</p> <p>See: https://journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>I include in the manuscript (with "change control" mode), a few corrections of form.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina