

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_104998
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Disease Infection and Sanitation Environment in Mother Against Stunting Control in Makassar City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Importance: The study aimed to observe and analyze how knowledge about infectious diseases and environmental sanitation relates to stunting control.</p> <p>2. Title: Not clear and non-attractive. Preferred to be more concise as follows "Risk Assessment and Control of Stunting in Makassar City, Indonesia".</p> <p>3. Abstract: Clear to a certain extent. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p>4. Structure of the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Keywords: Please rearrange in alphabetical order. b. Introduction: Improperly arranged in five paragraphs. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. c. The aim: Clear to a certain extent. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. d. Materials and methods: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Specify the type of data that were collected. If any questionnaire was involved, provide a tabulated form for it. 2. Is there was any consign that has to be collected before collecting data. 3. The authors did not provide the details on the statistical analysis, a reference, and the statistical model used for the analysis. 4. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. e. Results: The results are novel, clear to a greater extent, and informative. Reduced the illustration of numbers and instead, express them in your way. Some modifications that were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. f. Discussion: Clear to a certain extent with moderate comparison and moderate speculation. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. g. Conclusion: Clear. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. h. Tables: Well-presented and organized. i. Figures: Well-presented and organized. <p>5. Scientific Soundness: The manuscript highlighted an important problem in our societies and presented its impact and lines for its control.</p> <p>6. References: Sufficient as 46.6% (7 out of 15) of the listed references were published in the past five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>General view: The manuscript was written in a moderate English and grammar. A certain degree of copyediting and proofreading have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve the publishing value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt