

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_104987</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE EFFECT OF TRAINING METHODS ON IMPROVING PASSING IN SOCCER GAMES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p>1. The keywords are inadequate and inappropriate. Correct and extend them to appropriate keywords that can represent the work better.</p> <p>2. Keep some spaces in between the paragraphs and sections.</p> <p>3. Avoid definitions in the abstract section.</p> <p>4. Shorten the abstract by including the main points of the work. Try to keep this in a single page.</p> <p>5. The section method should be decomposed in the sections methodology, experiment, data analysis, and findings.</p> <p>6. Mention the name of the software that you utilized to generate the outcomes of the work.</p> <p>7. Add some recommendations in the discussion part.</p> <p>8. Format of the references is not understandable. Follow the journal structure.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Moderate but need to recheck by an expert in English language.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahtab Uddin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Natural Sciences, United International University, Bangladesh</b>