

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_104778
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of solvents and solvent mixtures on the content of polyphenols and antioxidant activity of Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medik. extracts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Solvent extraction of plant parts is the key role for the isolation of active constituents. In this research work suggested the different effect of solvent extraction. It is very useful for research fraternity. Suitable. Some more points to be added in the abstract. Excellently framed. Anti-oxidant activity analysis, lot of methods are available. Some more methods are added means, it will be more authenticated work. Yes. Recent references are added.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Once check the spell and Grammer mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Sundar
Department, University & Country	Vijaya Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences for Women, India