

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_104443
Title of the Manuscript:	A TALLY OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC SCAN FINDINGS IN THE IMMEDIATE POST-INSTALLATION PERIOD IN A RURAL BASED HOSPITAL IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal' s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ' **lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>no</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should be within 250 words 2. Introduction should be brief and to-the-point 3. Improve the intro portion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Include the studies which explored use of CT - Use of CT and other alternatives for this study - Why u chose CT over conventional radiographs - Basis of choosing the study? (what new contribution this article will bring for the already published works) 4. Limitations comes post discussion under same headline (discussion) and it should be brief and restricted. 5. Please include statistical analysis, how u chose sample size. P-value? 6. Results: graphs and charts/tables can come end of article. Write under small headlines for better preview and easy understanding 7. Results aren' r organised 8. Re write discussion. Start following way <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1st para – finding of study - 2nd para – discuss results and correlate with relevant studies - Studies for/ against - Author' s viewpoint - Clinical significance 9. Conclusion should be brief and 4-5 lines. 10. References should be as per journal guidelines 11. Increase references for discussion. <p>Good article, but doing following changes would improve the quality of study.</p>	<p>We the authors also modified the title of this article.</p> <p>Majority of the items highlighted have been addressed.</p> <p>The limitations have been expunged.</p> <p>The study was done over the initial 10 days immediately after the installation of the CT scanner, hence all patients who came for CT were recruited for the study.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Improve presentation of the study if possible</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suman Mukherjee
Department, University & Country	Purulia Govt Medical College & Hospital, India