

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105302</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Efficacy of nitrogen on growth and medicinal value of periwinkle (<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>) in an inceptisol of Varanasi</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, This article is good and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Need improvement in title.</p> <p>3. Need improvement in abstract.</p> <p>4. There is need of addition of subsection to improve the manuscript quality.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct but there are many changes needed.</p> <p>6. Many references are very old please use the reference of last five years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/ English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There is need to improve the references years and follow the references style as published articles in the journal, , abstract, font style(Time New Roman), Font Size (heading 14 and paragraphs 12, need to add sub sections and heading numbers, Improve the Title</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abbas Shahid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Central Punjab, Pakistan</b>