

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105658
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the novel insecticides for the control of sucking pests and pink bollworm in cotton
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is important as work is on a cotton crop that is an only commercial fibre crop.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No. additional comments are given in the manuscript itself</p> <p>Most of the comments are written in the manuscript. The result is not written completely and the author has closed the result para abruptly.</p> <p>The introduction is not about insect pests damage. Only production data is discussed in introduction part.</p> <p>The material methodology is incomplete as in paper source of insecticides is not mentioned, ETL is not written anywhere etc.</p> <p>The result is incomplete and stopped abruptly</p> <p>Discussion is not up to the level</p> <p>References are written in different pattern</p> <p>Spelling of insecticide is wrong.</p> <p>Some other points are written in manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachna Pande
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute for Cotton Research, India