

Study the Effect of novel insecticides for the control of sucking pests and pink bollworm in cotton plants under field conditions

ABSTRACT

The study investigated that the effectiveness of various novel insecticides for controlling sucking pests and pink bollworm in cotton cultivation over a three-year period. The treatments were evaluated based on their impact on aphids, jassids, thrips, whiteflies and pink bollworm populations. During 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 years, among the tested novel insecticides, Fipronil + Imidacloprid @ 100g/ha has recorded lowest population of thrips, jassids. Similarly, Fipronil+ Acetamiprid @ 1000 ml/ha has recorded lowest aphid population whereas incidence of pink bollworm was least in Lamdacyhalothrin + Chlorantraniliprole @ 200 ml/ha.

Keywords: Novel insecticides, Jassids, Thrips, Fipronil + Imidacloprid, sucking pests, pink bollworm and cotton.

INTRODUCTION

Cotton remains the dominant fiber in the textile industry and is commonly referred to as the "king" of fibers. It is widely used in apparel production, accounting for approximately half of all textiles. Cotton's profitability and extensive cultivation make it the most prevalent non-food crop globally. The top cotton-producing countries in the 2022-23 period were China (59.80 lakh tonnes), India (52.00 lakh tonnes), the USA (31.96 lakh tonnes), and Brazil (29.46 lakh tonnes). These four countries contribute around 71% of the world's cotton production from approximately 64% of the global cotton-growing area (AICRP on cotton report for the year 2023)

During the 2022-23 period, cotton production in India is estimated to reach 337.23 lakh bales weighing 170 kg each. This production comes from an area of 130.49 lakh hectares with a productivity of 439 kg lint/ha. The current year saw a 5.5% increase in cotton cultivation area compared to the previous year, resulting in an 8.4% increase in production. Productivity also experienced a slight marginal increase from 428 to 439 kg per hectare. Gujarat, Maharashtra, and Telangana have been the major cotton-growing and producing states in India in recent years (2018-19 to 2022-23). On average, Maharashtra cultivated 43.78 lakh hectares, producing 79.54 lakh bales, while Gujarat cultivated 24.84 lakh hectares, producing 76.67 lakh bales. Telangana cultivated 20.47 lakh hectares, producing 53.59 lakh bales. These states accounted for approximately 33.79%, 19.16%, and 15.80% of the total cotton cultivation area, respectively, and contributed 24.22%, 23.75%, and 16.32% of the national output, respectively (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, New Delhi)

In present investigation, combinations of 10 insecticides were compared against sucking pests like aphids, jassids, thrips and whiteflies. Results revealed that, incidence of jassids and thrips was low in the treatment wherein Fipronil + Imidacloprid @100 g ha⁻¹ was sprayed. As novel 10 insecticides were compared against pink bollworm, the results revealed that the incidence of PBW larvae/20 bolls was the least in the treatment, wherein Lambda cyhalothrin + Chlorantraniliprole @ 200 ml/ha was taken up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The farm trials on the bioefficacy of novel insecticides on population of sucking pests and pink bollworm were conducted at the Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Adilabad during Kharif, 2018-19. For conducting trials on cotton,

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt, Not Bold

Comment [M1]: Rewrite in good and clear method

Comment [M2]: Incomprehensible rewritten more clearly

Comment [M3]: In material and methods you don't refer to insecticides used and the rates used and their chemical names, group....etc.
How it applied
The No. of sprays
No. of seasons
Write the previous things in details under sub titles .

RCH-659 Bt-cotton hybrid was grown, ~~the distance with maintaining between lines to line distance of were~~ 90cm and plant to plant 60 cm in a plot size of 5 x 5M. There were three replications in each treatment. All ~~the~~ recommended agronomic practices were followed in the field according to the recommendation for *Kharif* crops. The bioefficacy of novel insecticides were evaluated against sucking pests and pink bollworm under field condition. The sucking pests population was recorded at randomly selected 10 plants per 3 leaves (top, middle, bottom) in each treatment field. The sucking population was observed day before spray (DBS) and after 1, 3, 7, 10 DAS. The observations of pink bollworm were recorded following the standard procedures. In each treatment and in each replication, five plants were selected randomly and tagged. The pre and post-count data of pink bollworm were recorded at 3, 7 and 10 days after each spraying (Divya *et al.*, 2020).

Comment [M4]: 10 plants per 3 leaves ???????
Not comprehensive write it in clear meyhod

The percentage damage in green fruiting bodies was worked out using the following formula:

$$\% \text{ Pink bollworm damage} = \frac{\text{Damaged bolls}}{\text{Total bolls}} \times 100$$

The data thus obtained from field experiments were analyzed statistically by ANOVA at 5 per cent level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comment [M5]: Rewrite in clear and more understanding method

The data in Table (1) and Fig. (1) revealed that

~~Among among~~ the tested treatments, Fipronil + Imidacloprid 100g/ha demonstrated the lowest aphid population with 5.45, while Fipronil + Acetamiprid yielded the next most favourable results after Fipronil + Imidacloprid recorded an aphid population of 5.83. In contrast, Profenophos + Cypermethrin recorded the highest aphid population at 9.06. Following this, treatment Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin and Thiomethoxam + Lambda cyhalothrin both exhibited comparable aphid populations, each at 8.16. among the all the treatments,

Comment [M6]: Reviewed it well and rewrite in good and clear method

In case of jassids, Fipronil + Imidacloprid demonstrated the lowest jassids population among the all the treatments, with a value of 5.45. Thereafter Fipronil + Acetamiprid recorded the next best favourable results after Fipronil + Imidacloprid 100g/ha, recording a jassids population of 5.83. In contrast, Profenophos + Cypermethrin recorded the highest jassid population with 2.68 among the all the treatments. Following this, treatment Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin with 2.57 recorded jassids population.

Regarding thrips, the lowest population was observed in the case of Fipronil + Imidacloprid with a value of 7.20. Following this trend, yielded the next most favourable results after Fipronil + Acetamiprid, recorded thrips population of 7.56. In contrast, the treatment Profenophos + Cypermethrin exhibited the highest thrips population at 10.13 among all the treatments. Subsequently, the Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin treatment recorded a thrips population of 9.93

When considering whiteflies, the lowest whiteflies population was observed in the case of Fipronil + Acetamiprid, which was also true for Fipronil + Imidacloprid, with a value of 5.38. Continuing this pattern, Fipronil + Imidacloprid recorded the next most favourable results after Fipronil + Acetamiprid, reporting a thrips population of 5.95. In contrast, the treatment Profenophos + Cypermethrin displayed the highest whiteflies population among all the treatments, recording a value of 9.25. Subsequently, the Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin treatment exhibited a population of whiteflies 9.14.

In case of pink bollworm, Monocrotophos + Acephate (farmer practice) recorded the higher pest populations among all the treatments, with 22.83% damage. Subsequently, the Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin treatment showed 9.14 % damage. Following this pattern, Lambda cyhalothrin + Chlorantriliniprole with 6.50% recorded the lowest damage among the all the treatments.

Fipronil + Imidacloprid and Fipronil + Acetamiprid consistently showcased lower pest populations, making them relatively more effective against the pests considered. It's evident that the choice of treatment greatly influences pest control

outcomes, and a balanced approach considering both efficacy and potential environmental impacts is crucial for sustainable pest management in cotton cultivation

The treatments varied in their effectiveness against different pests of cotton, Fipronil + Imidacloprid and Fipronil + Acetamiprid consistently demonstrated favourable results in terms of pest population control. Profenophos + Cypermethrin often resulted in higher pest populations, showcasing the importance of selecting treatments tailored to specific pest challenges for optimal pest management outcomes.

This finding is similar with Rohini and Prasad (2011) reported that in case of cotton leafhopper, fipronil 5 SC @ 2 ml/L and imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.4 ml/L were found to be promising. Kalyan et al. (2012) concluded fipronil 5 SC @ 40 g a.i./ha, imidacloprid 70 WG @ 50 g a.i./ha. Baraskar and Paradkar (2020) [4] observed that Fipronil 5% SC was found effective against the major sucking pests like leafhopper of Bt-cotton crop.

These findings are at par with Singh *et al.* (2002) and Singh *et al.* (2007) reported that fipronil @ 50g a.i. ha⁻¹ at fortnightly interval was found to be the best treatment against the leafhopper. Wadnerkar *et al.* (2003) reported that treatment with fipronil 5% SC @ 50-75g a.i. ha⁻¹ was effective in lowering the population of thrips, aphids and jassid infesting cotton. Jadhav *et al.* (2004) indicated that Fipronil 5% SC @ 100 g a.i. ha⁻¹ resulted in 2.2 leafhoppers per leaf and 1.2 thrips per leaf at seven days after application. Present findings are in corroborative with Ghure *et al.* (2008) and Gosalwad *et al.* (2009) showed that the newer insecticides molecules i.e lambda-cyhalothrin, emamectin benzoate

Comment [M7]: There not any thing in results part about Yield (kg/ha) although it found in table

Comment [M8]: Add more and recent references

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1. Study Effect of the novel insecticides for the control of sucking pests and pink bollworm (Three years pooled) in cotton plants (Three years pooled)

TREATMENTS	Dose / ha	Three years pooled					Yield (kg/ha)
		Aphids (No./Leaf)	Jassids (No./Leaf)	Thrips (No./Leaf)	Whitefly (No./Leaf)	Pink bollworm (%)	
T ₁ : Acephate + Imidacloprid	1000 g	6.92 (2.81)	2.13 (1.77)	8.48 (3.08)	7.05 (2.83)	15.83	1908
T ₂ : Bufrofezin + Acephate	1250 g	7.28 (2.87)	2.18 (1.78)	8.53 (3.08)	7.64 (2.94)	18.94	2074
T ₃ : Fipronil + Acetamiprid	1000 ml	5.83 (2.61)	1.83 (1.68)	7.56 (2.92)	5.95 (2.63)	15.05	2181
T ₄ : Profenophos + Cypermethrin	1000 ml	9.06 (3.17)	2.68 (1.92)	10.13 (3.33)	9.25 (3.20)	22.83	2151
T ₅ : Chlorophyriphos + Cypermethrin	1000 ml	8.61 (3.10)	2.57 (1.89)	9.93 (3.31)	9.14 (3.18)	13.88	2250
T ₆ : Thiomethoxam + Lambda cyhalothrin	200 ml	8.16 (3.03)	2.44 (1.85)	9.70 (3.27)	8.88 (3.14)	12.44	2092
T ₇ : Lambda cyhalothrin +Chlorantriliprole	200 ml	7.70 (2.95)	2.38 (1.84)	9.36 (3.22)	8.52 (3.08)	6.50	2446
T ₈ : Fipronil + Imidacloprid	100 g	5.45 (2.54)	1.66 (1.63)	7.20 (2.86)	5.38 (2.52)	16.26	2244
T ₉ : Betacyfluthrin + Imidacloprid	625 ml	7.47 (2.91)	2.30 (1.82)	8.81 (3.13)	8.26 (3.04)	19.50	1838
T ₁₀ : Monocrotophos + Acephate (farmer practice)	800 ml + 1000 gm	6.70 (2.77)	2.04 (1.74)	7.98 (2.99)	6.85 (2.80)	23.11	1547
S.ed ±	-	0.66	0.20	0.79	0.70	1.76	189.16
CD at 5 %	-	1.40	0.42	1.67	1.47	3.73	400.48

Comment [M9]: From each one or what?????????
Clear

Comment [M10]: What is in the brackets that you did not indicate and did not write at the bottom of the table, what it is and how it was calculated. Write under the table what is in the brackets and how it was calculated

Comment [M11]: What do you mean? Write under the table what is the S.ed. Is there any complement after ±

Comment [M12]: write it under table in detail




Fig.1 The graphical distribution of novel insecticides for the control of sucking pests and pink bollworm in cotton

CONCLUSION

Fipronil + Imidacloprid and Fipronil + Acetamiprid stood out as effective treatments for multiple pests, emphasizing the importance of tailored pest management strategies. The choice of treatment significantly influences pest control outcomes, underscoring the need for strategic decision-making to achieve optimal results in cotton cultivation

REFERENCES

- Baraskar J, Paraskar VK. Bio-efficacy of different group of insecticides against the major sucking pests complex of Bt-Cotton crop. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(6S):109-113
- cicr.org.in, 2023a. AICRP on cotton report for the year 2023.
- desagri.gov.in, 2023b. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, New Delhi
- Divya, B., Navi, S., Sugeetha, G., Vijaykumar, L., Kumar, S., Somu, G and Patel, V.N. 2020. Evaluation of newer molecules for the management of pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in cotton (*Gossypium spp.*). *Zoological studies*. 8 (1): 383-386.
- Ghure, S.T., B.S. Kharbde and D.S. Patil, 2008. Bioefficacy of new pesticides against bollworm complex of cotton (*Gossypium spp.*), *International Journal of Plant Protection* 1(2): 106-109.
- Gosalwad, S.S., S.K. Kamble, D.W. Wadnerkar and K.B. Awaz, 2009. Efficacy of some newer insecticides for control of cotton bollworms, *Journal of Cotton Research and Development* 23(2): 282-285.
- Jadhav VR, Wadnerkar DW, Jayewar NE. Fipronil 5% SC: An effective insecticide against sucking pests of chilli (*Capsicum annum* Linn). *Pestology*. 2004; 28(10):84-87
- Rohini A, Prasad NVVSD, Chalam MSV, Veeraiah K. Identification of suitable resistant cotton genotypes against sucking pests. *Journal of Entomological Research*. 2011;35(3):197-202
- Singh SR, Rai S, Sharma RK. Management of insect pests of okra through insecticides and intercropping. *Annals of plant protection sciences*. 2007; 15:321-324.
- Vinoth Kumar, B., Kuttalam, S and Chandrasekaran. 2009. Efficacy of a new insecticide spirotetramat against cotton whitefly. *Pesticide Research Journal*. 21(1): 45-48.
- Wadnerkar, D. W., Kawthekar, B. R and Zanwar, P. R. 2003. Evaluation of fipronil 5% SC against cotton insect pests. *Pestology* .27(9):15-18.