

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_104505
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prospects of Effective Management of Educational Data in Primary School at Mbarali District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is for scientific community. The research article shows the attempt of gaining benefits from technology to manage the educational data.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>For the abstract, it will be more comprehensible if clearer definitions and details are included such as the specific kinds of data management as well the statement of the purpose of the research.</p> <p>For the subsections and structure are quite appropriate.</p> <p>The Grammar check can be done.</p> <p>More updated sources from various sources of references can be added for more validated research article.</p> <p>Additional revision can be made for another version of the research article to be more comprehensible and validate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>If it will be revised, the English quality, especially, regarding to the word order, the article will be suitable for the scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this research article reveals a scientific method of educational data management which shows a good piece of work, while another revision for grammar, word orders, clear definitions, research procedures, framework can be done for a better version of this research article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Phramahapaijit Sakong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mahamakut Buddhist University, Thailand</b>