

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_104561
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF EARLY DIAGNOSIS IN MANAGING AND TREATING INFERTILITY SECONDARY TO ENDOMETRIOSIS:A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	REVIEW

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES. NO. THE EARLY MANAGEMENT BENEFIT IS SLIGHTLY DISCUSSED. YES. NO. THERE SHOULD BE DISCUSSION OF THE STUDIES IN THE LITERATURE COMPARING EARLY VS LATE VS NO TREATMENT, ETC. THERE SHOULD BE CONCLUSION BASED ON THE ABOVE DISCUSSION. NO. 2 REFS ARE ACTUALLY THE ONLY DEALING WITH THE INITIAL AIM OF THE MANUSCRIPT.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>LANGUAGE IS NOT AN ISSUE FOR THIS PAPER</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>RE-WRITE THE PAPER FOCUSED ON THE QUESTION / AIM</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikolaos Antonakopoulos
Department, University & Country	University of Patras, Greece