

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_105679
Title of the Manuscript:	MACRO COMMUNICATION SKILLS AND ANGLO-AMERICAN LITERARY COMPETENCE OF GRADE 9 STUDENTS IN A PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the target community. It makes the point that levels of macro communication skills and Anglo-American literary competence can be utilized when addressing the needs of the students in their language and literary learning process in different schools and schools' divisions of the secondary schools. Issues of literacy are very important because they affect acquisition of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics competencies.</p> <p>2. The title is fit for purpose because it reflects the core of the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive because it gives a faithful overview of the purpose of the study, the methodology used, and the results of the study.</p> <p>4. The subsections and headings are appropriate because they are based on the standard components of a study.</p> <p>5. The procedure used and the conclusions of the study are sound. References to previous studies in the interpretation of the results add to the scientific value of the study.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient. However, the authors need to have consistency in the use of capital letters in references. Here are examples of inconsistency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • StudeerSnel, B.V. WHAT ARE THE 5 MACRO-SKILLS IN ENGLISH? 2023. Available: https://www.studocu.com/ph/document/acts-computer-college/management-science/macro-skills-teaching/17704964 • San Juan, R. Philippines lowest in reading comprehension among 79 countries. 2019. Available: https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2019/12/03/1974002/philippines-lowest-reading-comprehension-among-79-countries • Choudhary, S. A Literary Approach to Teaching English Language in a Multicultural Classroom. High. Learn. Res. Commun. Vol. 6 Num. 4 December 2016. Available: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1132741.pdf • Viana, V. & Zyngier, S. Language-literature integration in high-school EFL education: investigating students' perspectives. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching Volume 14, 2020 - Issue 4. Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17501229.2019.1608999?journalCode=rill20 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language use is very good throughout</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Proofread the references for consistency in style</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Mukuni
Department, University & Country	School of Education, Virginia Tech University, USA