

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104845
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Effectiveness of Interaction Approach in Learning about Rivers among Grade IX Students: A Study in a Middle Secondary School in Western Bhutan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript can be said to contribute to the richness of the results of implementing learning strategies</p> <p>alternative title : Application of the Interaction Approach in Learning About Rivers for Class IX Students: A Study in Junior High Schools in West Bhutan</p> <p>The article abstract has a comprehensive description</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the text are sufficiently appropriate</p> <p>Manuscripts needs to be corrected is that the writing of citations is inconsistent with the provisions for writing references (APA or IEEE)</p> <p>The references is sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, this article is quite good</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tuti Suartini
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia