

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_105153
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative efficacy of warm water-only and warm saline mouth bath in oral wound healing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>1. Details of method of randomization used has to be added</p> <p>2. Consort Flowchart showing method – with inclusion and exclusion criteria to be added, how many patients were available.</p> <p>3. Which type of analysis was used – per protocol or intention to treat is to be added.</p> <p>4. What was the attrition rate?</p> <p>5. Results – Tables to be properly labelled, there is no table to support the primary objective of the study...kindly add. Table 3 – chi square and p value should be for each parameter and not overall.</p> <p>6. Conclusion must be based on the results obtained and not vague. To reword it.</p> <p>Above corrections must be done</p> <p>Most of the references are very old – 1,4,7,8. Kindly add recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	To do grammar and spell check	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prateek Bobhate
Department, University & Country	Shri Sathya Sai Medical College & Research Institute, India