

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Dental Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJDS_105153 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative efficacy of warm water-only and warm saline mouth bath in oral wound healing |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The research presented is important for the community because it involves subject related to health improvement</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article was described in a comprehensive form</p> <p>4. The article was divided in distincted and aproprate form</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. It is important to insert more recent references.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Keywords: Please insert keywords in the abstract - Introduction: I suggest insert a paragraph with more justification about the choice of these therapies. Show the purpose of the objective of the study clearer. - Methodology section: In this section, it is necessary to insert the number of local ethics committee Describe in more details how data collection had obtained. - Discussion: Insert more studies that agree and disagree with these therapies. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The English quality is suitable</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Ana Paula Tulio Manfron |
| Department, University & Country | Brazil |