

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ SARJNP_105137 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | An Evaluation of Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory Activity of Ficus racemosa Rat Model |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes after making the required minor corrections</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.yes</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. I think the study is important because it relates to the therapeutic importance of <i>Ficus racemosa</i></p> <p>2. Active chemicals what you think they are and why they weren't identified in the study</p> <p>3. The written discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained. The comparison with recent works would help to enhance the novelty of this current.</p> <p>4. Based on the conclusions of the research, the authors need to add a description of suggestions and added value for other researchers or readers</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Dhia Ahmed Taain |
| Department, University & Country | University of Basrah, Iraq |