

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	Journalof AdvancesinMathematicsandComputerScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JAMCS_102901
TitleoftheManuscript:	AnewJarque-Beratypenomnibusgoodness-of-fittestformultivariatenormality
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

General guideline for PeerReview process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript has an important role in the scientific community as the Jarque-Bera test is an important goodness-of-fit test for normality. In this manuscript the author(s) obtained a new Jarque-Bera type statistic for assessing the multivariate normality of a multivariate datasets but no practical applications in real life are illustrated in the manuscript. Most of the references mentioned in the manuscript are too much earlier publications. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. No. Some recently published references related to this topic are needed. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	

ReviewForm1.7

Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Mention the full form of the abbreviation MVN at least once (in Abstract) when they are used for the first time in the manuscript.2. Check the equations (1.4). What is 'iid'? Write clearly.3. If $x_j - \bar{x}_n$ is an orthogonal vector for all j, what is $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x}_n)^2$ in (2.2)?4. There are some typographical errors. Check these errors.5. Some current references related to this topic are needed to justify the different results	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tarun Pradhan
Department, University & Country	Dinabandhu Andrews College, The University of Calcutta, India