

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_101515
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF NITRATES, SULPHATES AND PHOSPHATES LEVELS IN SOIL FROM SELECTED FARMLANDS IN KAIAMA AND IMIRINGI IN BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is regional specific information generated may be useful to the scientists and extension staff of that region.</p> <p>The title need to be changed as "Comparative analysis of nitrates, sulphates and phosphates levels in soil from selected farmlands in kaiama and imiringi in bayelsa state, Nigeria"</p> <p>It is more lengthy and it should be squeezed without losing important content of findings</p> <p>It all depends on Journal over all subsections & structure are acceptable but the discussion part is lacking, I mean given the title of discussion but narrated the results in that chapter not discussed the present findings.</p> <p>It is ok after correcting</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It must be rewritten the abstract, Introduction and discussion chapter</p> <p>Abstract : it must be short and to be communicate the gist of findings (Not more than 250 words) Introduction : it is about 5.5 pages. No it should be not more than two pages and content should highlight the present stock of investigation, lack of information and importance of your present findings with objectives of the present findings Discussion : In this chapter author narrated the results rather discussing their findings and comparing the earlier reports if they are..... Or if it is new must be pointed It must be discussed referring the discussion chapter in any article of the journal for which you are submitting</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachappa V.
Department, University & Country	Zonal Agricultural Research Station, India