

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_101515
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF NITRATES, SULPHATES AND PHOSPHATES LEVELS IN SOIL FROM SELECTED FARMLANDS IN KAIAMA AND IMIRINGI IN BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This research reveals the concentration levels of nitrates, sulphates and phosphate in soils of selected farmlands in Bayelsa state and calls for further investigation on the factors responsible for high nitrate and sulphate levels in certain months of the year which makes it relevant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title is suitable as it is. Yes, it is. The purpose of the research and methodology were clearly stated. The keywords captured the main aspects of the research.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>The references are sufficient although there are a few old ones which could be made recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript was well written although with a few errors which have been highlighted and must be corrected. The research methodology is clearly stated and is very relevant to the scientific community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clement Takon Ngun
Department, University & Country	University of Cross River, Nigeria