

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_105321
Title of the Manuscript:	HIF1A Expression is a Common Cause for the Warburg Effect in Lung Cancer Tumors, Cervical Cancer Tumors, and Brain Cancer Tumors
Type of the Article	Original paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No (It is not comprehensive, Re write it with 5 sessions (background, objective, method, result, and conclusion)</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No, Write the references as usual way (names of researchers.title of the paper.year of publishing.....</p> <p>Where is the key words?</p> <p>Where is the references? , you cannot write any paragraph without references.</p> <p>Introduction: Rearrange it (it is mentioned in a sloppy way)</p> <p>Where is the aim of the study?</p> <p>Materials and method:</p> <p>What is the sample size?</p> <p>Where is the inclusion and exclusion criteria?</p> <p>Rewrite it in scientific way and well arranged</p> <p>Where is the ethical approval?</p> <p>Results:</p> <p>Write the result in clear way.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>Rearrange it by explaining your results</p> <p>Where is the previous studies that agree or disagree with your paper?</p> <p>Where is the limitation of your study?</p> <p>Where is the conclusion?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This paper cannot published in such writing It is need rewriting with common revision.</p> <p>Kindly , re-write it.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainab Mahmood Aljammali
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq