

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_105321
Title of the Manuscript:	HIF1A Expression is a Common Cause for the Warburg Effect in Lung Cancer Tumors, Cervical Cancer Tumors, and Brain Cancer Tumors
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>3. Not quite – a bit more detail is required</p> <p>[4] Not quite – Key Words, Aims, of the study as a subheading, conclusions, conflict of interest statements, acknowledgement section, source of funding declaration, More details of the methodology of the experimental study to explain the process step by step is required</p> <p>[5] Yes</p> <p>[6] The references need to be put in brackets to demonstrate what the references are supported through out the article. How can you write an introduction or discussion without supporting the statements with reference numbers to be cross checked for veracity, the references provided were included like extra reading material without demonstrating in the text the statements being supported.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>See above and provide more details in your discussions and write your own key words and write a table with the abbreviations and what they stand for in words.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthony Kodzo_Grey Venyo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom</b>