

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104730
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic manures and leaf cuttings on growth, yield and quality of palak (<i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>bengalensis</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important to the academic community. It deals with an important culture of the region and, moreover, for its cultivation, alternative fertilizer is used. 2. Yes, it is appropriate. 3. Yes. However, in the summary it is written: "The Field Experiment was conducted During the Rabi Season, 2021–2022, at the experimental unit ..." and in Materials and Methods: "The Experiment Was During the Rabi Season of the Year 2021 at The experimental unit ... ". So what is the year that the experiment was really conducted? 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Optional/General comments	Suggestions are in the form of comments in the text file.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ângela Teresinha Woschinski De Mamann
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil