

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105402
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on Growth and Yield of Cowpea”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is important to me because of the relevance of Cowpea cultivation to the region.</p> <p>The title reflects the objectives of the research. So I think the title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is not structured.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically written properly.</p> <p>Need to add more citations</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used is quite understandable and sufficient to communicate with peers and academic communications	
Optional/General comments	Need to check journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hermes Ulises Ramirez Sanchez
Department, University & Country	Instituto De Astronomía Y Meteorología, Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico