

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105386
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of bed disinfectants on rearing performance of multivoltine hybrid mulberry silkworm [NISTARI × (SK6×SK7)], in Kishanganj district, Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is of interest to the scientific community in the field of sericulture - prevention of diseases of the silkworm;</p> <p>2. In the manuscript there is no reference to the geographical area given in the title of the article, so I suggest the title «Evaluation of bed disinfectants on rearing performance of multivoltine hybrid mulberry silkworm [NISTARI x (SK6xSK7)]»;</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive;</p> <p>4. The subsections correspond to the structure of the manuscript;</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct;</p> <p>6. The links are sufficient and fresh.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article is suitable for scientific publications in English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. In the article it is desirable to give the names of the active substances of the disinfectants used.</p> <p>2. The table should be placed immediately after its mention in the text.</p> <p>3. Paragraphs 3.3 and 3.4 in the article are mutually exclusive, the phrase "mortality when using a disinfectant" is unclear, if the remedy leads to death on feeding silkworm caterpillars, then its use is excluded.</p> <p>4. There is no conclusion.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirzakhodjaev Bakhtiyar Anvarovich
Department, University & Country	Scientific Research Institute of Sericulture, Uzbekistan