

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105313
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different levels of Nitrogen and Seed Treatment on growth and yield of Finger millet
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Millet is gaining importance in recent days due to its nutritional importance. Cultivation of millets through standardising the nutrient management is therefore needed to improve millet productivity.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. However, grammatical/spelling mistakes have been noticed and therefore, the article must be thoroughly checked.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. But follow journal guidelines to write reference in text and reference section.</p> <p>The Economics have not been found in the article although it has been mentioned in abstract.</p> <p>Conclusion should be rewritten properly.</p> <p>Discussion section must be to be improved. Why does azospirillum perform better?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saikat Biswas
Department, University & Country	Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, India