

## Original research article

### “Effect of Different levels of Nitrogen and Seed Treatment on growth and yield of Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.)”

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* 2023 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) to determine the “Effect of Different levels of Nitrogen and Seed treatment on growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.)”. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.62%), available nitrogen (225 kg/ha), available phosphorus (38.2 kg/ha) and available potassium (240.7 kg/ha). The treatments consisted of three levels of [Nitrogen 40kg/ha, 50kg/ha, 60kg/ha] and three types of Biofertilizers [Azospirillum 2g/kg, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 6g/kg, *Azotobacter* 3g/kg] as seed treatment, whose effect is observed in finger millet. The results revealed that the treatment with application of Nitrogen 60kg/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg recorded higher plant height (86.27 cm), number of tillers/plant (8.67/plant), plant dry weight (23.41 g/plant), higher test weight (2.92 g), number of fingers/plant (5.67), number of ear heads/plant (3.32), number of grains/fingers (114.14), grain yield (2,635.65 kg/ha), straw yield (4,883.10 kg/ha) and Harvest index (35.05%).

The economics viz., maximum gross returns (1,05,426.00 INR/ha), net returns (67,577.00 INR/ha) and B:C (1.78) was also recorded in treatment 7 [Nitrogen 60kg/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg] as compared to other treatments.

**Keywords:** *Nitrogen, Biofertilizers, Growth, Yield, Economics, Finger millet.*

## INTRODUCTION

In India, finger millet is also referred to as ragi and African millet. Finger millet is a member of the Poaceae family. In India, finger millet is produced on 1.27 million hectares and produces 1.89 million tonnes per year, making up 60% of the country's total small millet production.

With an average productivity of 1489 kg ha/ha, India finger millet is produced over an area of around 1.27 million ha, yielding 1.89 million t. With the right management, the improved varieties can produce up to 4 t of grain per hectare. China (79,000 t/ha), Afghanistan (38,000 t/ha), Nepal (1,22,000 t/ha), and India produce the most finger millet in Asia (2,613,000 t/ha). In Odisha, it is produced on 1.61 lakh/t of land spread throughout 1.66 lakh/ha, according to the 2017 Agriculture Statistics. The majority of finger millet is grown and consumed in Karnataka, India. (**Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2016**).

It is grown on an area of 9,10,780 hectares in India, with a yield of 1.35 tonnes per hectare and an annual production of 12,34,474 tonnes. With an annual production of 6,76,567 tonnes/ha and a productivity of 1.22 tonnes/ha, it is grown on 5,55,350 hectares in Karnataka, which is more than half of the nation's production area (**Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, 2019**).

Ragi contains, 9.2% proteins, 1.29% lipids, 76.32% carbs, 2.2% minerals, 3.90% ash, and 0.33 % calcium. While vitamin A, B, and phosphorus are also present, in smaller amounts, finger millet is said to have the greatest iodine concentration of any cereal grain. Finger millet is grown on 1.27 million ha in India, with a productivity of 1489 kg/ha and a production of 2.61 million tonnes (**Prasanna kumar et.al., 2019**).

If taken as food, it provides a steady diet, especially for people who are exercising vigorously. Straw is a great source of feed for both livestock and demand animals. People with diabetes are said to benefit from eating finger millet. A grain could also be malted, and the flour made from malted grain is used to make cakes, cereal, and other wholesome foods for people of all ages. (**Chaturvedi and Srivastava (2008)**).

Finger millet is a demanding crop, particularly for nitrogen. Protoplasm, enzymes, and chlorophyll all depend on nitrogen as an essential ingredient. It also regulates the utilisation of potassium and phosphorus. According to **Shrivastava and Sinha (1972)**, it is a critical element for improving cereal output and essential for both improved yield and vegetative growth. Since nitrogen is one of the elements that most severely restricts crop production, it is applied as fertiliser to the majority of annual crops. 2007 (**Huber and Thompson**).

Under dry/rainfed conditions, finger millet has been shown to grow, produce more dry matter, and yield more (**Prasanna, 2016**). According to studies on N fertilization, grain yields were higher when N applications between 0 and 90 kg/ha were made (**Bekele et.al., 2016, Nigade et.al., 2016**).

Biofertilizers are applied through seed or soil, biofertilizers are solutions containing living cells or dormant cells of productive strains of microorganisms that assist agricultural plants in absorbing nutrients through their interaction in the rhizosphere. Biofertilizers come in a variety of forms, including Rhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Blue-green Algae, and Azolla. Natural processes such as nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and plant growth-stimulating hormone synthesis are used by biofertilizers to add nutrients. The use of chemical pesticides and fertilisers should be decreased as a result of bio-fertilizers. The microorganisms in biofertilizer rebuild the soil's organic matter and nutrition cycle. Along with improving the sustainability and soil health, biofertilizers can be used to develop healthy plants.

Azospirillum is benefit to plants by mechanisms related to enhancement of plant growth, increase the mineral uptake, increase the dry matter, improve the water absorption and improve the yield (**Okon and Vanderleyden, 1997**). Azotobacter is a free living nitrogen fixation bacteria which has been reported to fix about 20 kg N/ha in non-legumes (**Subbarao, 1982**).

Fluorescent pseudomonads, a group of PGPR are the most studied ones. They help in soil health maintenance and are metabolically and functionally most diverse. Fluorescent pseudomonads provide effective protection against bacterial and fungal pathogens, parasites and certain nematode infections. **Kukreti et.al., (2017)**

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

The experiment was conducted during *zaid* season of 2023 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). The soil of the field constituting a part of central gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.4), low level of organic carbon (0.51%), available N (108.69 Kg/ha), P (80.5 kg/ha), K (83.3 kg/ha) The treatment consists of 40 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg, 40 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas Fluorescens 6g/kg, 40 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg, 50 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg, 50 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas Fluorescens 6g/kg, 50 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg, 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg, 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas Fluorescens 6g/kg, 60 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg and control.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, with 10 treatments replicated thrice. The observations were recorded for plant height, Number of tillers/plant, plant dry weight, test weight (g), grain

yield (t/ha), straw yield (t/ha) and harvest index (%). The collected data was subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method. Finger millet, variety (VL-376) were selected for sowing and treated with Azospirillum, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Azotobacter. Seeds are sowed of spacing (25x10 cm).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### GROWTH PARAMETERS

#### Plant height(cm):

The data revealed that significantly higher plant height (86.20 cm) was observed in treatment 60kg N/kg + Azospirillum 2g/kg. However, 60kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg (83.06 cm) and 60kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg (85.41 cm) were found statistically at par with 60kg N/kg + Azospirillum 2g/kg.

Plant height increased significantly with increase in nitrogen levels from 0 to 40 and 60 kg N/ha **Gupta et.al., (2012)** The plant height was significantly increased with the successive increase in nitrogen levels up to 60 kg N/ha **Dubey and Srivas (1999)**. Application of biofertilizer like Azotobacter and Azospirillum provides the nitrogen to the crop. The ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen is a vital physiological characteristics of Azotobacter **Parveen et al., (2007)**

#### Number of Tillers/plant:

Significantly higher number of tillers (8.67/plant) was recorded with the application of 60kg N/ha and Azospirillum 2g/kg as recorded in 100 DAS. However, in treatment 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg (8.42/plant) were found statistically at par with 60kg N/ha+ Azospirillum 2g/kg. Number of tillers per meter length increased significantly with the increased levels of nitrogen at all plant growths. This was mainly due to increased vegetative growth which helps the plant to produce more number of tillers **Shashidhara et.al., (1998)**

#### Plant dry weight (g/plant):

Significantly highest plant dry weight (23.41 g/plant) was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg. which was significantly higher over rest of all the treatments and statistically at par to the 60kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg (23.28 g/plant), 60kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg (23.24 g/plant) and 50 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (23.04 g/plant).

The biological yield and dry matter production is important for determination of grain yield **Donald (1962)**. The increase in dry matter was found due to increase in plant height, number of leaves/plant treated with Azotobacter and Azospirillum **Sharma et.al., (2017)**.

## **YIELD PARAMETER:**

### **Number of Fingers/ plant**

Significantly higher number of fingers/plant was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (5.67), which was significantly higher over rest of all the treatments and statistically at par to the 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg.

### **Number of Ear head/plant**

Significantly higher number of ear head was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (3.32) at 100 DAS. However, 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg (3.22) were found statistically at par with the 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg.

Significant increase in number of grains /ear head is due to increase in the availability of Nitrogen through bio fertilizer inoculation by which more ear heads are produced due to increased rates of spikelets primordial production, similar results were found **Marnagar and Dawson (2017)**

### **Number of Grains/finger.**

At harvest, there is no significant difference among the treatments. Higher number of seeds was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (114.14) and lower was Control: (60:30:30 NPK kg/ ha) (112.10).

The significant improvement in dry matter, yield attributes like number of ear heads, ear head length, number of grains per ear head, grain weight per ear head and 1000 grain weight with application of 60 kg N/ ha over that of 0, 20 and 40 kg N/ ha ultimately helped in increase of yield in the former level of N application (**Paul et.al., 2016**).

### **Test weight (g)**

Significantly higher test weight was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (2.92 g) at harvest, which was significantly superior over rest of all the treatments and statistically at par with the 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg.

Increasing levels of nitrogen up to 60 kg N/ha induced in test weight but markedly increase only up to 40 kg N/ha. The grain yield and straw yields as influenced by different levels of nitrogen 40 kg N/ha and 50kg N/ha on finger millet **Dubey and Srivas (1999)**

### **Grain yield (kg/ha)**

In treatment, 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (2,365.35 kg/ha) was recorded higher significant of grain yield. However, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatment and statistically at par with the 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg.

Better filling and more grain weight at increased levels of N application leading to increased yield attributes and grain yield **Chakraborty et.al., (2002)**

The significant and higher grain yield (34.16 q/ha) was observed with the application of bio-fertilizers. This might be due to use of biofertilizer combinations grain and stover yield was increased by 79 percent 23 percent respectively over the control in pearl millet. The increased production of pearl millet could be ascribed to bio-fertilizers viz., Azospirillum and Azotobacter, which fixed atmosphere nitrogen into the soil and made it available to the plants **singh et.al., (2017)**.

### **Straw Yield (kg/ha)**

The significant and higher straw yield (4,883.10 kg/ha) were observed in with application of 60kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg, which was significantly higher over rest of the treatment and there is no statistically at par to the 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg.

The increase in grain and straw yields with enhanced N application could be ascribed to increases the activity of cytokinin in plant which leads to the increased cell-division and elongation which leads to better plant growth, dry-matter production and higher photosynthesis. **S. BhanuPrakash Reddy et.al., (2016)**

This increase in Stover yield might be attributed to increased height, leaf area and dry matter production. In the inorganic + biofertilizer treatments, the positive benefits of seed bacterization could be attributed mainly to nitrogen fixation and other factors like release of hormones, increase of Plant Growth Promoting Substances (PGPS) and nutrients uptake. The results of almost similar nature were also reported by **Guggari and Kalaghatagi (2003)**.

### **Harvest Index (%)**

Significantly higher harvest index was recorded with application of 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg (35.05 %), which was significantly higher and statistically par with 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescence 6g/kg and 60kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg.

The dry matter partitioning has been effective with increased level of nitrogen, thus, resulting in higher harvest index. **S. Bhanu Prakash Reddy et.al., (2016)**. Pseudomonas fluorescens, Azotobacter chroococcum, Azospirillum lipoferum and Acetobacter diazotrophicus along with Trichoderma viride significantly increased the plant height, dry weight, ear length, grain and straw yield millet crop **Latake et.al., (2009)**.

**Table 1. Effect of Different levels of Nitrogen and Seed treatment on growth attributes of Finger millet.**

| 100 DAS |  |                   |                         |                      |
|---------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Sl No.  | Treatments                                 | Plant height (cm) | Number of tillers/plant | Dry weight (g/plant) |
| 1       | 40 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 79.72             | 6.61                    | 20.37                |
| 2       | 40 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 77.57             | 6.33                    | 20.05                |
| 3       | 40 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 75.36             | 6.30                    | 19.24                |
| 4       | 50 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 82.06             | 7.10                    | 23.04                |
| 5       | 50 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 81.75             | 7.41                    | 22.51                |
| 6       | 50 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 80.38             | 6.84                    | 22.37                |
| 7       | 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 86.27             | 8.67                    | 23.41                |
| 8       | 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 85.67             | 8.42                    | 23.28                |
| 9       | 60 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 85.41             | 7.47                    | 23.24                |
| 10      | Control : (60:30:30 NPK kg/ ha )           | 74.76             | 6.06                    | 18.07                |
|         | F test                                     | S                 | S                       | S                    |
|         | SEm(±)                                     | 1.25              | 0.16                    | 0.35                 |
|         | CD (P=0.05)                                | 3.71              | 0.49                    | 1.04                 |

**Table 2. Effect of Different levels of Nitrogen and Seed treatment on yield attributes of Finger millet**

| S.no | Treatments                                 | Number of fingers/plant | Number of ear heads/plant | Number of grains/fingers | Test weight (g) | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Stover yield (kg/ha) | Harvest Index (%) |
|------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 40 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 4.60                    | 2.69                      | 112.60                   | 2.49            | 1,588.79           | 3.39                 | 29.29             |
| 2    | 40 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 4.40                    | 2.74                      | 112.54                   | 2.43            | 1,518.84           | 3.56                 | 28.74             |
| 3    | 40 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 4.07                    | 2.80                      | 112.34                   | 2.35            | 1,403.93           | 3.98                 | 27.79             |
| 4    | 50 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 5.40                    | 2.93                      | 113.54                   | 2.69            | 2,036.90           | 3.71                 | 31.74             |
| 5    | 50 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 5.07                    | 2.50                      | 113.27                   | 2.59            | 1,950.53           | 4.05                 | 31.23             |
| 6    | 50 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 4.80                    | 3.11                      | 112.87                   | 2.55            | 1,935.92           | 4.14                 | 31.13             |
| 7    | 60 kg N/ha + Azospirillum 2g/kg            | 5.67                    | 3.32                      | 114.14                   | 2.92            | 2,635.65           | 3.86                 | 35.05             |
| 8    | 60 kg N/ha + Pseudomonas fluorescens 6g/kg | 5.60                    | 3.22                      | 113.67                   | 2.80            | 2,322.90           | 4.09                 | 34.45             |
| 9    | 60 kg N/ha + Azotobacter 3g/kg             | 5.00                    | 2.99                      | 113.60                   | 2.72            | 2,232.54           | 4.29                 | 34.62             |
| 10   | Control : (60:30:30 NPK kg/ ha )           | 4.00                    | 2.60                      | 112.10                   | 2.11            | 1,205.25           | 3.22                 | 25.87             |
|      | F test                                     | S                       | S                         | NS                       | S               | S                  | S                    | S                 |
|      | SEm(±)                                     | 0.07                    | 0.04                      | 1.18                     | 0.03            | 33.7               | 0.05                 | 0.53              |
|      | CD (P=0.05)                                | 0.21                    | 0.13                      | -                        | 0.09            | 100.17             | 0.11                 | 1.58              |

## CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that application of Nitrogen 60kg/ha and Azospirillum 2g/kg (seed treatment) as performed better in growth parameters and yield attributes of finger millet (VL-376).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Prayagraj, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and sciences, (U.P) India for providing necessary facilities to undertaken the studies.

## REFERENCES

1. Bekele, Anbessa., Getahun, Dereje and Dereje, Alemu. 2016. Determination of optimum rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization for finger millet production at Assosa Zone, in Benishangul-Gumuz region of Ethiopia. *Advances in Sciences and Humanitie*:2472-0941.
2. Bhanu Prasad Reddy S , Naga Madhuri K.V , Keerthi Venkaiah and Prathima T.,2016. Effect of Nitrogen and Potassium on yield and Quality of Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) *International journal of Agriculture innovations and research*. **4**(4):2319-1473
3. Chakraborty T., Roy, D.K., Sounda, G. 2002. Effect of fertilizer, rock phosphate and Azospirillum on growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. **36**(3): 192-195. Cakmak, I. 2008. Enrichment of cereal grains with Zinc Agronomic or genetic bio fortification. *Plant and Soil*. **302**(1-2): 1-17.
4. Chaturvedi, I. (2005) Effect of nitrogenous fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice, *Journal of Central European Agriculture* **4**:611-612
5. Donald, C.M. 1963. In search of yield. *Journal of Australian Institute of Agricultural Science*, **28**:171-178.
6. Dubey, O.P., Shrivastava, D.N. 1999. Response of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L) genotype to nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **44**(3):564-566
7. Encyclopedia of Food sciences and Nutrition. 2016.
8. Food and agricultural organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2011, The state of food and agriculture, 2010-2011. Women in agriculture. Closing the gender gap for development. (<http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/i2050e/i2050e00.htm>, accessed January

- 2015 Gopalan,C.
9. Gupta N, Gupta, A.K, Gaur, V.S and Kumar, A. 2012. Relationship of nitrogen use efficiency with the activities of enzymes involved in nitrogen uptake and assimilation of finger millet genotypes grown under different nitrogen inputs. *Scientific world journal*. 1-10
  10. Guggari, A.K., Biradar, D.P., Kalaghatagi, S.B. and Biradar, A.P.2007. Integrated nutrient management in pearl millet-sunflower crop rotation in northern dry zone of Karnataka.Crop Research., **34**(1-3):39-46.
  11. Hari prasanna, K. 2016. Nutritional importance and cultivation aspects. Indian institute of Millets Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Telangana, India. *Indian Farming*. **65** (12): 25-29.
  12. Huber, D. M. and Thompson, M. I. 2007. Nitrogen and plant disease. pp. 31-44. In: Datnoff,W.H., Elmer and Huber, D. M. (eds.). *Mineral nutrition and plant disease* St.
  13. Paul, J., Choudhary, A.K., Sharma, S., Savita, Bohra, M., Dixit, A.K., Kumar, P. (2016). Potato production through bio-resources: Long-term effects on tuber productivity, quality, carbon sequestration and soil health in temperate Himalayas. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 213: 152–163.
  14. Kumar, P., Choudhary, A.K and Suri, K. 2019. Agronomic biofortification and quality enhancement in okra-pea cropping system through arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi at varying phosphorus and irrigation regimes in Himalayan acid alfisol. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. **40**(8):1213-1229.
  15. Kukreti, 2017. Evaluation of fluorescent pseudomonas to isolate with strains. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and life sciences*.**6**(10):29-35
  16. Latake, S.B. Shinde, D.B and Bhosale, D.M. 2009. Effect of inoculation of beneficial micro-organisms on growth and yield of pearl millet. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. **43**(1): 61-64.
  17. Nigade, R.D., Jadhav, B.S. and Bhosale, A.S. 2011. Response of long duration finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana* L.) variety to different levels of nitrogen under rainfed condition. *International Journal agriculture Sciences*. **7**(1): 152-155.
  18. Okon, Y. and Vanderleyden, J. 1997. Root associated Azospirillum species canstimulate plants. *ASM News*. 63: 366-370
  19. Parveen, K., Singh, H., Hooda, R.S. and Singh, V.P. 2007. Effect of different nitrogen levels and biofertilizers on crop productivity, soil properties and rhizosphere microflora under pearl millet-wheat cropping system. *Research on Crops*. **8**(1) : 72-76.

20. Shashidhara, G. B., Basavarajappa, R. and Nadagouda, V. B., Response of little millet (Savi) genotypes to NPK levels. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*. **11**(2): 343-345 (1998).
21. Subba Rao, N.S. 1982. Biofertilizers in Agriculture. Oxford and IBH Pub. Co. New Delhi.
22. Singh, D., Raghuvanshi, K., Chaurasiya, A and Datta, S.K. 2013. Biofertilizers: non chemical Source for enhancing the performance of pearl millet crop (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*. **6**(11): 38-42.
23. Sharma, R.K., Sharma, S.K. and Balyan, J.K. 2017. Productivity and profitability of Indian mustard under different organic nutrient management practices in Semi-arid region. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*. **8**(1) : 89-94.

UNDER PEER REVIEW