

Effect of different sowing dates and planting distance on growth, yield and quality of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.)

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out to find out the best planting time and spacing in terms of growth and flower yield and quality of China aster during Rabi season 2022. The research was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design, which had nine treatments replicated thrice. The dates of sowing were kept in the month of October at an interval of one week i.e., 2nd, 9th and 16th having different planting distance (30x20 cm, 30x30 cm, 30x40 cm). This experiment revealed that Treatment T1 in which seeds were sown in 1st week of October having planting distance of 30 x 20 cm performed best in with respect to growth, flower yield and quality of China aster.

Key words: *Different sowing dates, planting distance, China aster, RCBD.*



Introduction

China aster, scientifically known as *Callistephus chinensis* Nees., belongs to the family Asteraceae, is one of the most demanding winter annual flowers ranks third just next to Chrysanthemum and Marigold (Sheela, 2008). The genus named 'Callistephus' was derived from the Greek words 'Kalistos' meaning 'most beautiful' and 'Stephos' meaning 'a crown'. China aster is one of the most preferable commercial flower crops, grown as cut flower, loose flower, bedding plant, floral decoration, bouquets and garland preparation, consisting a wide spectrum of attractive colors including violet, purple, magenta, many shades of pink, pure white, pale blue, mauve, dark blue, scarlet and comparatively longer vase life (Chaitra and Patil, 2007; Diltia et al., 2007). China aster being well adapted to diversified soil and climatic condition, it is now-a-days successfully grown in various agro-climatic zones of India. China aster estimated to be commercially grown over an area of 3500 ha in India by marginal and small farmers in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and West Bengal (Pratiksha et al., 2017), among which Karnataka itself has covered an area of 1531 ha

with a productivity of 9.05 t/ha (**Anonymous, 2014**). The standardization of sowing dates for different varieties of China Aster is crucial for enhancing the production efficiency of the crop. It can also help in reducing the production costs and improving the overall profitability of the farmers. However, the optimum sowing dates of China Aster may vary depending on various factors such as climatic conditions, soil types, and the specific variety of China Aster being grown. The current research study aims to investigate the effects of different sowing dates on the vegetative growth, floral parameters, and vase life of China Aster

Plant density plays an important role in case of physiological functioning of plant. The planting distance affects the availability of nutrients, water, and light to plant which affects the photosynthetic activities which have ultimate effect on plant growth and yield. Thus, plant density at which a crop is planted has an immense role in growth, yield and flowering of crop. It has been reported by many workers that a close spacing has an adverse effect on the growth and quality of flower even though the total yield increases, while wide spacing induces vigorous vegetative growth but yields are due to limited plant population.

Time of planting is the most important factor in influencing the yield of crop performance of genotype entirely depends upon the time of planting. Delay in planting generally results in yield reduction which cannot be compensated by any other means. Timely transplanting seedlings results in earlier harvest than early transplanting. Tender aged or over aged seedlings are not suitable for better yield. Medium aged seedlings result in greater leaf area, high yield (**Hassan, 1967**).

Material and Method

This experiment was conducted at Floriculture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (UP) in the month of October to March during the winter season of the year 2022-2023. The experiment has been undertaken in open field conditions. The nursery trays were prepared with a well-aerated mixture of sand, soil, and well-rotted farmyard manure (FYM) in equal proportions (1:1:1, v/v). Mixed seed of healthy and disease-free seeds were sown in pro tray on three different dates: 2nd October, 9th October, and 16th October, in 2022-23. After sowing, a layer of vermi-compost was applied over the seeds, and the trays were covered with gunny bags. Careful watering was provided through sprinkling to prevent seed wash-off.

Throughout the nursery raising period, adequate irrigation and weeding were carried out. Thinning of seedlings was done to promote better growth and the development of robust and disease-resistant seedlings. The seedlings, which were healthy, disease-free, and of consistent size and vigor at the 3-4 leaf stage, were carefully chosen and transplanted in field on three different spacing for planting: S1, S2, and S3, in the 2022-23 season.

Chart 1 : Treatment details

Treatment symbol	Treatment combination
T ₁	Oct – 1 st week 30x20cm
T ₂	Oct – 1 st week 30x30cm
T ₃	Oct – 1 st week 30x40cm
T ₄	Oct – 2 st week 30x20cm
T ₅	Oct – 2 st week 30x30cm
T ₆	Oct – 2 st week 30x40cm
T ₇	Oct – 3 st week 30x20cm
T ₈	Oct – 3 st week 30x30cm
T ₉	Oct – 3 st week 30x40cm

Results and Discussion: -

An experiment was carried out in the Experimental field, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Naini, Prayagraj, District, Uttar Pradesh. During Rabi season 2022 Observations were recorded at 30, 60 and 90 (DAT) days after transplanting on different vegetative, photosynthetic, floral and vase life characters. The entire data were subjected to statistical analysis to get information on the effect of different Sowing dates and Planting distance and their interactions on the above parameters. The results are presented in this chapter under the following subheads with appropriate discussion.

Effect of different sowing dates and planting distance on plant height (cm)

The plant height of China aster at different sowing dates and planting distance found Significant at 30 DAT, with interaction found non-significant at 30 DAT however it was non-significant at other two intervals 60, 90 DAT.

In the different levels of sowing dates and planting distance, the maximum plant height was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 8.51 cm at 30 DAT, 16.84 cm at 60 DAT, 55.67 cm at 90 DAT. Followed by T₄ (OCT 3rd week 30x20cm) with 8.52 cm at 30 DAT, 15.09 cm at 60 DAT, 54.78 cm at 90 DAT and minimum plant height was observed in T₉ (OCT 4th week 30x40cm) with 5.60 cm at 30 DAT, 11.22 cm at 60 DAT, 40.56 cm at 90 DAT respectively.

The plant height of a crop is a direct index to measure growth and vigor. In general, plant height increases gradually with the advancement of age. Maximum plant height was recorded in OCT 2nd week with closer spacing (30x20cm) and the minimum were recorded in OCT 4th week with wider spacing (30x40cm), this might be because, at a closer planting distance, less space is available for the spread of the plant, and hence all the food material is utilized in erect growth of the plant, resulting in more plant height. While as planting distance increased, plants got more space for their spread and food material is used for the growth of spread as well as height, resulting in less height of the plant as compared to closer planting distance. The same result is reported by Chaudhary *et al.* (2007) in zinnia, Karuppaiah *et al.* (2005) in marigold.

Number of leaves

The number of leaves of China aster with different sowing dates found significant at 60 DAT, with spacing and their interaction found non-significant at 60 DAT and non-significant at other two intervals 30, 90 DAT.

In the different levels of sowing dates and planting distance, the maximum number of leaves was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 7.78 at 30 DAT, 21.22 at 60 DAT, 172.89 at 90 DAT. Followed by T₃ (OCT 2nd week 30x40cm) with 7.56 at 30 DAT, 20.22 at 60 DAT, 164.55 at 90 DAT and minimum number of leaves was observed in T₉ (OCT 4th week 30x40cm) with 5.78 at 30 DAT, 14.78 at 60 DAT, 132.45 at 90 DAT respectively.

An increasing trend was observed in number of leaves from 30 DAT to 90 DAT till harvest. Here, in OCT 2nd week with closer spacing (30x20cm) resulted a greater number of leaves, while a lesser number of leaves per plant was recorded OCT 4th week with wider spacing (30x40 cm), this might be because, at a closer planting distance, less space is available for the spread of the plant, and hence all the food material is utilized in erect growth of the leaf, resulting in more plant leaf. While as planting distance increased, plants got more space for their spread and food material is used for the growth of leaf bud formation, resulting in less leaves of

the plant as compared to closer planting distance. **Agarwalet al. (2016)** in golden rod and **Jainetal.(2018)** instaticereportedthatmaximumnoofleaveswasrecordedinincloserspacing.

Plantspread (cm²)

The Plant spread of China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant at all threeintervals 30,60,90 DAT.

In the nine treatment of different sowing dates and planting distance the maximum Plant spread (cm²) was recordedin T₃(OCT 2nd week 30x40cm) with 10,27 cm² at 30 DAT, 18.86 cm² at 60 DAT ,25.33cm² at 90 DAT. Followed by T₄ (OCT 3rd week 30x20cm)with 9.66 cm² at 30 DAT, 18.28 cm² at 60 DAT, 25.22 cm² at 90 DAT,andminimumnumberof leaveswasobserved inT₉(OCT 4th week 30x40cm)with 6.78 cm² at 30 DAT, 13.52 cm² at 60 DAT, 18.28 cm² at 90 DATrespectively.

The plant spread was recorded maximum in OCT 2nd week with wider spacing (30x40cm)where there is rapid decrease in OCT 2nd week with closer spacing (30x20cm) to spread theplant, it needs to have more spacing. **Pratibhaet al. (2018)** reported that maximum plantspreadwas observed inwiderspacing.

Chlorophyllcontent (spectrophotometer)

ThechlorophyllcontentofChina asterwithdifferentsowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significantat all three interval 30,60,90 DAT.

IntheNine treatments of differentsowing dates and planting distancethemaximumchlorophyllcontentwasrecorded in T₈ (OCT 4th week 30x30cm) with 42.65 SPAD value at 30 DAT, 47.46 SPAD value at 60 DAT, 46.54 SPAD value at90 DAT. Followedby T₆ (OCT 3rd week 30x40cm) with 41.82 SPAD value at 30 DAT, 45.84 SPAD value at 60 DAT, 45.02 SPAD value at 90 DAT, and minimumchlorophyll content was recorded in T₇(OCT 4th week 30x20cm) with 40.02 SPADvalueat 30 DAT, 43.15 SAPD value at 60 DAT, 45.00 SAPD value at 90 DAT.

ItisobservedfromthedatahatthetotalchlorophyllcontentofChina asterplantswasrecorded maximum in OCT 4th week with wider spacing (30x30cm) and found minimum in OCT 4th week with closer spacing (30x20cm). Similarly (**Ahirwaret al., 2012**) reported that withthe wider spacing the chlorophyll content was also increased in African marigold cv.PusaNarangiGainda under Jabalpur condition.

Day taken from planting to bud emergence.

The Number of days required for flower bud emergence in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatment of different sowing dates and planting distance the minimum days required for flower bud emergence was observed in T₃ (OCT 2nd week 30x40cm) with 77.33 days, followed by T₆ (OCT 3rd week 30x40cm) with 77.80 days and maximum days required for flower bud emergence is observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 85.11 days

Transplanting at OCT 2nd week with closer (30x20cm) spacing showed early flower bud due to less space all the food material is utilized in erect growth of the plant, resulting in early flower bud than wider planting distance. While planting distance increased, plants got more space for their spread and food material is used for vegetative growth. **Kauret al. (2009)** reported the similar results in chrysanthemum. And **Jadhav et al. (2014)** reported that minimum days to first flower bud emergence.

Days taken for buds break from planting.

Days taken for buds break from planting in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatment of different sowing dates and planting distance the minimum days required for flower bud break from planting was observed in T₃ (OCT 2nd week 30x40cm) with 87.26 days, followed by T₂ (OCT 2nd week 30x30cm) with 96.45 days and maximum days required for flower bud break from planting was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 97.78 days.

Transplanting at 35 days old seedlings with closer spacing showed maximum length of stalk were transplanted at 25 days old seedlings with wider spacing showed minimum length of stalk. Which might be attributed to a more vertical growth of the plant under dense spacing which in turn might be the effect of competition for space and light. **Deshpande et al. (2001)** in *Stachys* and **Khobragade et al. (2012)** observed the similar result in China aster.

No. of flower buds per plant

No. of flower buds per plant with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatments of different sowing dates and planting distance in the maximum No. of flower buds per plant was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 13.22 buds, followed by T₂ (OCT 2nd week 30x30cm) 12.22 buds and minimum No. of flower buds per plant was observed in T₈ (OCT 3rd week 30x30cm) with 9.00 buds.

Transplanting 42 days old seedlings with wider spacing showed minimum days where transplanting 30 days old seedlings with closer spacing showed maximum days. It was evident from the data that closer spacing showed early flowering than wider planting distance while flowering was late in wider planting distance. Similarly, the duration required for harvesting from the appearance of flower stalk was less in more plant spacing and a longer period was required for harvesting from the appearance of the flower stalk in closer planting distance. **Jadhav et al. (2014)** observed in calendula that minimum days required for flower opening from bud initiation in closer spacing.

Stalk length

Stalk length in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In Nine treatments of different sowing dates and planting distance the maximum stalk length was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 16.44cm, followed by T₄ (OCT 3rd week 30x20cm) with 15.67cm and minimum stalk length was observed in T₉ (OCT 4th week 30x40cm) with 14.78cm.

Transplanting at OCT 2nd week with closer spacing (30x20cm) showed maximum length of stalk were transplanted at OCT 4th week with wider spacing (30x40cm) showed minimum length of stalk. Which might be attributed to a more vertical growth of the plant under dense spacing which in turn might be the effect of competition for space and light. **Deshpande et al. (2001)** in statice and **Khobragade et al. (2012)** observed the similar result in China aster.

Number of flowers per plants

Number of flowers per plant in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatments of different sowing dates and planting distance the maximum number of flowers per plant was observed in T₁ (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 14.55, followed by T₂ (OCT 2nd week 30x30cm) with 13.22 and minimum number of flowers per plant was observed in T₈ (OCT 4th week 30x30cm) with 10.55.

Earlier sowing dates in China Aster resulted in a higher number of flowering stems per plant compared to later sowing dates. This is attributed to the plants having more time for vegetative growth, allowing for the production of additional shoots that later develop into reproductive stems. The presence of more branches also contributes to an increased number of potential flower-bearing stems. Consequently, early sowing promotes greater vegetative growth and enhances flower production in China Aster. **Singh *et al.* (2018), Dhatt and Kumar (2010)**

Shelf life of individual flower (days)

Shelf life of individual flower in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatments of sowing dates and planting distance the maximum days of shelf life was observed in T5 (OCT 3rd week 30x30cm) with 23.67 days, followed by T1 (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 23.56 days and minimum shelf life of individual flower is observed in T6 (OCT 3rd week 30x40cm) with 21.67 days.

Fresh weight of flower (g)

Fresh weight of flower in China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant.

In the Nine treatments of sowing dates and planting distance the maximum weight of flower of China aster was observed in T7 (OCT 4th week 30x20cm) with 8.81 gram, followed by T6 (OCT 2nd week 30x40cm) with 8.60 gram and minimum fresh weight of flower is observed in T1 (OCT 2nd week 30x20cm) with 5.10 gram.

Vase life of different levels of sorbitol of 2:2-gram salt and sugar.

Vase life of different levels of sorbitol of China aster with different sowing dates and planting distance their interaction found non-significant. In the Nine treatments of different sowing dates and planting distance the maximum days for vase life of different levels of sorbitol was observed in T5 (OCT 3rd week 30x30cm) with 16.44 days, and followed by days of vase life of different levels of sorbitol was observed in T4 (OCT 3rd week 30x20cm) with 14.78 days and minimum days of vase life of different levels of sorbitol was observed in T7 (OCT 4th week 30x20cm) with 14.78 days.

Note: 1 superior result obtained

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2: Effect of different sowing dates and planting distance on Flowering characteristics and Quality parameters of China aster

Treatment	Flowering characteristics				Quality parameters
	Stalk length (cm)	No. of flower/ plant	Shelf life of individual flower (days)	Fresh weight of flower (g)	Vasa life (Sorbitol-different levels) 2:2g salt & sugar
T1	16.44 ¹	14.55 ¹	23.56	5.10	15.11
T2	15.56	13.78	23.00	7.07	15.56
T3	15.44	13.22	23.45	7.25	15.44
T4	15.67	11.89	23.11	8.60	15.67
T5	15.11	12.22	23.67 ¹	8.26	16.44 ¹
T6	15.67	12.78	21.67	8.60	15.32
T7	15.63	10.78	22.89	8.81 ¹	14.78
T8	15.44	10.55	23.44	8.08	15.44
T9	14.78	10.67	22.78	8.03	15.20
SPACING					
F-test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S(Ed.)	0.235	2.269	0.79	0.797	0.332
CD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
DIFFERENT SOWING DATES					
F-test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S(Ed.)	0.235	2.269	0.79	0.797	0.332
CD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spacing x sowing dates					
F-test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S(Ed.)	0.407	3.93	1.369	1.381	0.575
CD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 3: Economics of various treatment in China aster cultivation

Treatment	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Total yield (Flower/plots)	Selling (Rs)	Gross Return (Rs/plots)	Net return (Rs/plots)	Benefit cost return
T1	12,460	1260	25	31,500	19040	2.53
T2	12,460	840	25	21,000	8,540	1.68
T3	12,460	675	25	16,875	4,415	1.36
T4	12,460	1224	25	30600	18140	2.46
T5	12,460	900	25	22500	10040	1.81
T6	12,460	702	25	17550	5090	1.41
T7	12,460	1152	25	28800	16340	2.31
T8	12,460	864	25	21600	9140	1.74
T9	12,460	657	25	16425	3965	1.32

Conclusion

From the present investigation it is concluded that treatment (T1) (Oct – 1st week 30x20cm) performed best in terms of plant growth (plant height (cm), Plant Spread (cm), amount of Leaves/plant after 90 days, flower yield and quality (color, self-life, and vase life) and Economics cultivation.

The highest benefit cost ratio was found in Treatment (T1) with (2.53)

REFERENCES

- Adil, A.M., Ahmed, E.E., Al-Chalabi, A.T. and Athedi, A.F.A. 2021. Effect of planting time and corms treatment with gibberellic acid on growth, flowering, and vase life of *Freesia hybrida* 'corona'. *Journal of Horticultural Research* **29(2)**: 23-30.
- Adil .M., Ahmad, W., Ahmad, K.S., Shafi, J., Shehzad, M.A., Sarwar, M.A., Salman, M., Ghani, M.A. and Iqbal, M. 2013. Effect of Different Planting Dates on Growth and Development of *Gladiolus grandiflorus* under the Ecological Conditions of Faisalabad, Pakistan. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research* **1(3)**: 110-117.
- Agarwal, A. and Dorajeerao, A.V.D. 2016. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen level on growth, yield and quality of golden rod (*Solidago canadensis* L.). *Plant Archives* **16(1)**: 349-355.
- Ahirwar, M.K., Ahirwar, K. and Shukla. 2012. Effect of plant densities, nitrogen and phosphorus levels on growth, yield and quality of African marigold. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. **14(2)**: 153-55.
- Ahmad, I., Khattak, A.M., Ara, N. and Ullamin, N. 2011. Effect of planting dates on the growth of gladiolus corms in Peshawar. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* **27(2)**: 195-199.
- Alkurdi, M., Hassan, K. and Supuka, J. 2015. Influence of planting date on growth, stem number formation and flower appearance of *Matthiola incana* L. *Thaiszia Journal of Botany* **25 (1)**: 29-39.
- Anonymous, 1997. Director of Horticulture, Maharashtra State, unpublished report.

- Asif, M., Qasim, M. and Mustafa, G. 2001. Effect of planting dates on growth, flowering and corm characteristics of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*) cv. Single. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **3(4)**:391-393.
- Bhattacharjee, S.K.; Yadav, L.P. and Mukhopadhyay, J. 1979. Effect of bulb size, planting depth and spacing on tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn). *Lal Bagh*, **24(4)**:24-29.
- Chaudhary, V.R., Kumar, J., Singh, Y., Singh, R.K. and Prakash, R. 2007. Effect of plant spacing on growth and flowering of zinnia (*Zinnia elegans* L.). *The Asian Journal Of Horticulture* **2(1)**:242-243.
- Deshpande, G M., Sonawane, P C. and Manjul D. 2001. Effect of plant density on growth, flowering and yield of Statice (*Limonium sinuatum*). *Journal of Applied Horticulture* **3(2)**:98-99.
- Dole, J.M., Wilkins, H.F. (2005). *Floriculture Principles and Species*. Pearson Prentice Hall, USA.
- Francis Perry. 1972. *Flowers of World*. The Hamlyn Publication, Group of London, pp.232. Francis Perry. 1986. *The Macdonald encyclopedia of Plants and flowers*, pp.363.
- Hassan, M.S. (1967). Influence of age of transplants on vegetative, floral and fruit development in the tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Dissertation Abstracts International Section*. B.28: 2211-12
- Hilverda, B.V. 1994. *Cultural directions, Limonium perennials*, A booklet for private circulation.
- Ismail, R.F., Kandeel, A.M., Ibrahim, A.K. and Omer, E.A. 2013. Effect of planting date and plant spacing on growth, yield and essential oil of Mexican marigold (*Tagetes lucida* L.) cultivated in Egypt. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research* **9(1)**:330-340.
- Jadhav, J.G., Neha, C., Gore, K.S, Ashwini, P. and Darshana, G. 2014. Effect of spacing on growth, flowery yield and quality of calendula under Vidarbha (M.S.) conditions. *Journal of Soils and Crops* **24(1)**:82-85.