

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105079
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of South Indian Chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora T.) Varieties Under High Density Planting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, No, unfortunately understandable title, e.g. The role of High Density Planting on Performance of South Indian Chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora T.) Varieties. Or Exploring the effect of High Density Planting on Performance of South Indian Chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora T.) Varieties. No, not understandable, needed to be rewrite as researchable abstract. Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To some extent	
Optional/General comments	Paper needed to be reorganized properly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hind Bushra Ahmed
Department, University & Country	School of Rural Extension Education and Development, Ahfad University for Women, Sudan