

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104874
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Microencapsulated Thyme Essential Oil for Aroma Treatment of Wool Fabric
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has important content for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. suitable</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript on "Utilization of Microencapsulated Thyme Essential Oil for Aroma Treatment of Wool Fabric" contains original experimental data and scientific comments. However, it should be reorganized for better understanding and valuable findings to other scientists.</p> <p>1. The paper should be revised carefully before a further evaluation.</p> <p>2. A few minor mistakes need to be corrected. "(14.79 mg cm)", "(115.330)", "onlyaverage ", " °C " and so on.</p> <p>3. Put a space between the unit and the number of quantities in the whole manuscript.</p> <p>4. Reference section should be revised; Krishnaveni (2019) ?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Ihsan Kaya
Department, University & Country	Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Technical Sciences Vocational School, Turkey