

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104172
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Organic Manures on Growth, Yield and Quality of Parching Sorghum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community. It addresses a critical aspect of sustainable agriculture and crop management, specifically focusing on the use of organic manures to enhance the growth, yield, and quality of parching sorghum. As the global demand for food increases, finding environmentally friendly and efficient ways to improve crop productivity is of utmost importance. The findings presented in this manuscript shed light on the potential of organic fertilizers as an alternative to chemical fertilizers, promoting soil health and nutrient availability. This research contributes valuable insights to the agricultural community, providing evidence-based guidance for farmers and researchers interested in organic farming practices and their impact on crop performance. 2. The suggested title is: Enhancing Growth and Yield of Parching Sorghum Through Organic Manure Application 3. The abstract of the article is concise, clear, and comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the key elements of the study, including the research aims, study design, methodology, results, and conclusion. The abstract provides a good overview of the research on the use of various organic manures and their impact on the growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of parching sorghum. Readers can quickly grasp the main findings and significance of the study from the abstract. 4. Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears that the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript follows a standard format commonly used in scientific research papers, which includes sections such as Introduction, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion. Within the sections, relevant subsections are used to organize and present the data in a logical and coherent manner. The subsections cover essential aspects of the research, such as growth parameters, yield parameters, seed quality parameters, and plant nutrient uptake. This structure allows readers to easily follow the flow of information and understand the key findings of the study. Overall, the manuscript demonstrates a well-organized and suitable structure for presenting scientific research. 5. Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears to be scientifically correct. The study design, methodology, and data analysis follow standard scientific practices. The results are presented clearly, and the conclusions drawn are supported by the data presented. However, a comprehensive review by experts in the field would be necessary to ensure the scientific accuracy and validity of the study. 6. The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient, but there is room for improvement in terms of including more recent sources. While the references cover relevant literature, incorporating more recent research papers and studies published in the last few years can enhance the manuscript's credibility and reflect the latest developments in the field. Adding recent references will ensure that the study is up-to-date with the current state of research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written with clear and concise language, making it easily 	

Review Form 1.7

	understandable for readers in the academic and scientific community. The use of appropriate scientific terminology and adherence to standard writing conventions contribute to its suitability for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The language quality of the article is commendable. The writing is coherent and follows a logical flow, making it easy for readers to comprehend the research findings and conclusions. The use of proper grammar and punctuation ensures clarity in conveying the information. Additionally, the use of scientific terminology and conventions demonstrates the author's proficiency in communicating scientific research effectively. Overall, the manuscript exhibits a high standard of English language quality, making it suitable for scholarly communication in the scientific community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lusi Herawati Suryaningrum
Department, University & Country	Research Center for Applied Zoology, Indonesia