

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_104067
Title of the Manuscript:	Some relations of gamma functions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The results included in the document may be of some interest. But the area of special functions has experienced enormous progress, and many researchers are working in this direction, proposing interesting extensions and generalizations of the main special functions. These new concepts and the results related to them are very important both from the theoretical point of view and in the field of applications.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>A thorough review of the organization of the manuscript is required.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In my opinion, there is a lack of recent references on the new direction of investigations in the area of special functions, as I have previously commented.</p> <p>I would like to indicate to the author or authors of this document the following aspects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The section "Introduction an Auxiliary facts" should be separated into two independent sections. On the one hand, the introduction that should be developed more widely, collecting as I have already commented the current state of the area of special functions and supporting references. It is also necessary to include the objectives of the research carried out. In the introduction it is also usually include a brief description of the organization of the document. On the other hand, a section dedicated to recall basic notions and results about the study problem, based on appropriate references. (ii) A section should be included dedicated to collecting the conclusions of the research carried out, indicating the potential, either theoretical or practical, of the results obtained. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I have detected some typographical errors</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Martínez González
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic University of Cartagena, Spain