

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_104820
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF SUPPOSITORY VOLTAREN IN ADJUNCT WITH PERI- PROSTATIC NERVE BLOCK WITH LIGNOCAINE AS ANALGESIA FOR TRANSRECTAL ULTRASOUND- GUIDED BIOPSY OF PROSTATE.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>Yes, but I have provided a minor change as support regarding the position of suppository</p> <p>Yes, but I have improved upon the English.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The standard of the English writing should be improved and I have provided supportive revision / corrections that are minor and the writing of the refencing should be consistent and should include the last page of each article in the reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The standard of the English should be improved to good publishable standard and I have provided educational supporting corrections / revisions which the authors should reflect upon and include in their revision if they are happy with my corrections</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The references should be written fully and consistently to include the last page of each article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom