

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_105628
Title of the Manuscript:	High Dose Methylprednisolone in Fulminant Progressive Quadriparesis With Optic Neurites: The Marburg Variant
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I have read through the paper and these are my comments:</p> <p>There should not be commas before conjunctives such as 'and' or 'but', as per "...glucocorticoids, cyclophosphamide, and mitoxantrone..." and "...brainstem, and optic nerves..." "and before 'or', as per, "...metabolic, or vascular pathology..."            Scientific papers should be in third person past tense, not first person, as per "...Our patient had no..."            There is no justification to start any sentence with 'so' as per the following, "...So, the diagnosis of..."            I doubt that the authors have justified the statement which concludes the abstract, "...tumefactive demyelinating lesions are necessary..."            The second sentence of the introduction is deficient, as per "...Occurs predominantly in young adults..."            The following sentence which demands referencing, "...Usually, it is associated with Antibody-mediated processes and genetically predisposed persons...."            The following is NOT a sentence, "...No history of girdle-like sensation, neck pain, sensory, bowel &amp; bladder involvement...."            The same applies to the next sentence, "...No history of headache,..." Surely authors are expected to write in acceptable English, namely with a subject, a verb and a predicate. This is a fundamental issues.            The same applies to following sentences and on that alone the authors should be invited to revisit their paper.            The following is also not acceptable, "...In the motor examination tone was increased in all four-limb left more than right..." The patient seems to have had quadriparesis and hypertonicity and I would expect the authors to write in scientific prose. The English is substandard.            In the following description, I would expect the author(s) to stipulate the muscles involved. They DO NOT establish UMN or LMN distribution which I would have thought was a minimum for a scientific submission.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Roy G. Beran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Griffith University, Australia</b>