

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_105551
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of Non-urgent cases in the emergency department
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Abstract: Please, add a background as the framework of this investigation Add more data with exact values. Compare the important findings between the groups Introduction: Be more focused on previous relevant studies, which have been published recently. What is the logic behind this study design which has not been reported previously? Any novelty? Please, highlight it clearly. Also, give a good background in support of the aim of this investigation using up-to-date REFs (2020-23).</p> <p>By end, the aim of the study should be clearly and completely stated! The objective in its current form is not acceptable</p> <p>Discussion: Be more specific as how others have reported their data and compare the present findings with others. Discuss the reasons behind setting this study design.</p> <p>The findings should be well justified in this section. Add the most recent studies (2020-23).</p> <p>REF: Add new relevant REFs (2020-23).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Shamsi
Department, University & Country	University of Arak Medical Science, Iran